UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,059	07/06/2001	Guo-Liang Yu	075977-0122	5121
Michele M. Sin	7590 03/24/200 nkin	EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LAF		ROMEO, DAVID S		
Washington Harbour 3000 K Street NW, Suite 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20007-5143			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/899,059	YU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David S. Romeo	1647	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the peal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final replacements.	significan
b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final hambers. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CH MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	. ,
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and t under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened staset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ne corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee tutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with	37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension therec Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tim AMENDMENTS	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to	he date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration	and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondi	ng number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	L IN II CN CO II
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See atta	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continue Control Name of the control of the c	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if sunon-allowable claim(s). 	abmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not leave the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 11-17</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient it was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	• • • —
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was n	rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the statement of the stat	us of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT See Continuation Sheet.	place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. \square Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08	s) Paper No(s)
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
/Da	avid S Romeo/
Pri	mary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1, 2, 11, 12 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-7 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of treating IBD, Crohn's disease or colitis, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of preventing IBD, Crohn's disease or colitis. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant argues that: "...solely to advance prosecution and without acquiescing to the correctness of the Office Action assertions, the claims have been amended to replace the word 'preventing' with the word 'ameliorating.' ...The claimed methods are fully enabled."

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner does not agree that replacing the word "preventing" with the word "ameliorating" fully enables the claimed methods because claim 1 recites "[a] method of ...preventing an inflammatory disease or disorder comprising administering to an animal in which such ...prevention is desired;" claim 11 recites "[a] method of ...preventing inflammation comprising administering to an animal in which such ...prevention is desired;" claim 17 recites "[a] method of ...preventing an autoimmune disease or disorder comprising administering to an animal in which such ...prevention is desired." Therefore, the claims are reasonably construed as encompassing a method of preventing IBD, Crohn's disease or colitis. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims, as indicated in the rejection of record.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1-7 and 11-17 are being examined to the extent that they are directed to or encompass the treatment of IBD, Crohn's disease or colitis. The other species listed in claims 1-7 and 11-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 06/14/2007.